2137

Contact Information: A. Atkinson E-mail: andrew.atkinson@insel.ch



Risk factors for surgical site infection after total joint arthroplasty: data from the Swiss national surveillance system N. Buetti^{1,6}, A. Atkinson¹, N. Troillet^{2,6}, M.-C. Eisenring^{2,6}, M. Zwahlen³, S. P. Kuster^{4,6}, A. Widmer^{5,6}, J. Marschall^{1,6}

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ²Service of Infectious Diseases, Central Institute, Valais Hospitals, Sion, Switzerland, ³Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland, ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital and University of Zurich, Switzerland, ⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland, ⁶Swissnoso, the National Center for Infection Control, Bern, Switzerland

Background

- Surgical site infections (SSIs) are infrequ observed after total joint arthroplasty but devastating consequences.
- Most Swiss hospitals participate in the n SSI surveillance, Swissnoso.
- The aim of this observational cohort stud identify risk factors of SSI among electiv knee arthroplastic procedures, and to de incidence of SSI during the follow-up per

Methods

- We performed an analysis of SSI from prospectively collected data with procedu characteristics and risk categories.
- Risk factors for SSI were identified using univariate and multivariate logistic regres
- Models were adjusted for hospital level effects and for possible censoring bias u inverse probability weighting.

Figure 1: Observational data included in the study



Figure 2: F	Risk factors	for SS
-------------	--------------	--------

Repeat surgery - emergent (ref. no repeat)
Repeat surgery - elective (ref. no repeat)-
ASA score 3/4/5 (ref. 1/2)
Operation overlong
Hospital size 200-499 (ref. <200)-
Hospital size 500+ (ref. <200)
O Discharged to other acute care (ref. home)
Duration (30 mins steps)
Discharged to rehab. facility (ref. home)
Antibiotic timing (30 minute steps)
Knee arthroplasty (ref. hip)
Age (10 year steps)
Endoscopy/minimally invasive
Sex - female -
2 prophylactic antibiotics (ref. 1)
0.4 Adjuste

Disclosure Statement: The authors have nothing to disclose. The study was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation project number 32003B_179500 References: 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)/NationalHealthcare Safety Network (NHSN). Surgical site infection (SSI)event. Procedure-associated module SSI. Jan 2015. Atlanta, GA: CDC. [Accessed 5 Feb 2015]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/pscManual/9pscSSIcurrent.pdf, 2. M B Koek et al. Eurosurveillance (PDS) for surgical site infections: a good method is more important than a long duration, 3. DK Deborah et al. CID. Reporting Surgical Site Infections Following Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: Impact of Limiting Surveillance to the Operative Hospital, 4. Lenguerrand et al. Lancet ID, Risk factors associated with revision for prosthetic



- (Figure 1).
- Follow-up was 92.5% 12 months after surgery. • Overall SSI rate was 1.3%
- 1% for knee
- 1.4% for hip arthroplasty.
- Repeat surgery (unplanned or planned), higher ASA level, and longer than anticipated procedural time were associated with a significantly increased risk of infection in multivariate analyses (Figure 2).
- 91% of all SSIs (n=1'328) were detected after discharge.

Figure 3: Detection of SSIs during follow-up

UNIVERSITÄT

WINSELSPITAL

RSITÄTSSPITAL BERN

RN UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL

swissnosc

TAL UNIVERSITAIRE DE BERN

Results

We analyzed a total of 113'495 procedures that occurred between June 2009 and September 2017

Risk factors for pre-discharge SSIs were very similar to those mentioned above (data not shown). Fifty-six percent of SSIs were observed within 30 days, 27% from 30-90 days after incision and 17% were observed >90 days after the procedure (Figure 3).

Conclusions

- The SSI incidence after total joint arthropasty was low.
- Almost all SSIs occurred postdischarge, with risk factors being broadly the same, independent of when the infection occurred.
- Limiting the follow-up period to 30 days would have resulted in missing 44% of SSIs. A follow-up period of 90 days would have missed 17% of SSIs. This argues in favor of extended follow-up.